

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.ispio.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/530,719 | 05/04/2000 | TADASHI YAMAURA | 2565-198P | 3186 |
| 2292 | 7590 09/14/2002 | ! | | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 747 | | | AZAD, ABUL K | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2654 | 14 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/14/2002 | // |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No. Applicant(s) YAMAURA, TADASHI 09/530,719 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ABUL K. AZAD 2654 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)MARK E. OLDS (REG. NO. 46,507. (1) <u>ABUL K. AZAD</u>. (4)____. (2) TALIVALDIS I. SMITS. Date of Interview: 03 September 2002. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 16 and 18. Identification of prior art discussed: Nishiguchi et al. (US 6,018,707) and admitted prior art . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The applicant's representative presents his arguments. The examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments based on the interpretation of the claims language. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required



UNITED STATES DESARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

TALIVALDIS NARS SMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 05/04 2565-198 TADASHI YAMAURA EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2654 **DATE MAILED:** INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): MARK F. OLDS (Reg. No. 46,567) (3) Date of Interview Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant personal (copy is given to Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Agreement Was reached. was not reached. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTÉRVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)